

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/588,259	Applicant(s) KOCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew O. Savage	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Mark S. Bicks.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Quaas et al and Koch et al, of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend claim 12 to patently distinguish over the art of record. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)